	RTIN L. FINEMAN, Bar No. 1104413	Ulmer & Berne LLP
111 '	tinfineman@dwt.com)	Michael D. Switzer (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
111	IS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP	Brian C. Bianco (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
	Montgomery Street	Alissa R. Pohlman (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
Suite	: 808	Peter G. Hawkins (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
San I	Francisco, CA 94111	500 West Madison Street
Telep	phone: (415) 276-6575	Suite 3600
Facsi	imile: (415) 276-6599	Chicago, IL 60661-4587
		Telephone: 312-658-6530
NIR	O, HALLER & NIRO	Fax: 312-658-6531
	nond P. Niro (Member of the N.D. Cal.	Email: mswitzer@ulmer.com
III -	(rniro@nshn.com)	Email: bbianco@ulmer.com
111	stopher J. Lee (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	Email: apohlman@ulmer.com
	@nshn.com)	Email: phawkins@ulmer.com
111 '	L. Szpondowski (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
	ondowski@nshn.com)	Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP
	West Madison, Suite 4600	James Isbester (State Bar No. 129820)
	ago, IL 60602-4515	Byron Robert Chin (State Bar No.
111	ago, 12 00002-4515 ne: (312) 236-0733	259846)
	(312) 236-3137	(237040)
Fax:	(312) 230-3137	1080 Marsh Road
 	C D1 : .: CC	Menlo Park, CA 94025
	rneys for Plaintiff	*
Grau	l Semiconductor, Inc.	Telephone: (650) 326-2400
		Facsimile: (650) 326-2422
		Email: jisbester@kilpatricktownsend.com
		Email: bchin@kilpatricktownsend.com
		Attorneys for Defendant Renesas Electronics America Inc.
		Renesas Electronics America Inc.
1		
		TES DISTRICT COURT
		STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	SAN FRANCIS	SCO DIVISION
$ $ $_{\rm GR}$	AIL SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,	Case No. 11-cv-03847-JCS
	AL SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,	Case No. 11-ev-03047-3CS
	Plaintiff,	PROPOSED SCHEDULE
	Timiti,	TROT GELD SCILLD CLL
	v.	Courtroom: G, 15 th Floor, SF
	· ·	Judge: Honorable Joseph C. Spero
		Judge. Honorable Joseph C. Spero
 REI	NECAC ELECTRONICS	
11	NESAS ELECTRONICS	
11	NESAS ELECTRONICS IERICA INC.,	
11		

In accordance with the Court's Order of July 20, 2012 (Dkt. No. 95), Plaintiff Grail Semiconductor ("Grail") and Defendant Renesas Electronics America Inc. ("REA") respectfully submit the following Proposed Schedule.

Deadline	Event	
09/14/2012	Complete Rule 26(a)(1) initial disclosures	
10/26/2012	Serve "Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions" (P.L.R. 3-1)	
	Produce documents to accompany Infringement Contentions" (P.L.R	
12/10/2012	Serve "Invalidity Contentions" (P.	L.R. 3-3)
	Produce documents to accompany 3-4)	"Invalidity Contentions" (P.L.R.
TBD ¹	ADR Process: ENE	
12/21/2012	Exchange of proposed terms for construction (P.L.R. 4-1)	
01/25/2013	Exchange of Preliminary Claim Construction and Extrinsic Evidence (P.L.R. 4-2)	
02/22/2013	File Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement (P.L.R 4-3)	
03/22/2013	Complete claim construction discovery (P.L.R. 4-4)	
03/28/2013	Deadline to amend pleadings or add parties	For currently expected amendments, REA agrees to March 28, 2013. In the event information is disclosed after March 28, 2013, REA respectfully requests that Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure govern potential amendments to the pleadings.
04/05/2013	Grail to file opening claim construction brief (P.L.R. 4-5(a))	

¹ Specific time for ADR process to be determined. Parties contemplate ADR process will occur sometime after the exchange of infringement and invalidity contentions.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Deadline	Event
04/19/2013	REA to file responsive claim construction brief (P.L.R. 4-5(b))
04/26/2013	Grail to file reply claim construction brief (P.L.R. 4-5(c))
Subject to the Court's calendar	Claim Construction Hearing (P.L.R. 4-6) - May 9, 2012 at 1:30 PM Tutorial for Claim Construction - May 6, 2013 at 1:30 PM
50 days from claim construction ruling	Advice of counsel defense discovery due (P.L.R. 3-7)
120 days from claim construction ruling	Close of fact discovery
150 days from claim construction ruling	Designation of expert witnesses and exchange of initial reports for party with burden of proof
190 days from claim construction ruling	Exchange of rebuttal expert reports
220 days from claim construction ruling	Close of expert discovery
250 days from claim construction ruling	Dispositive motions due
At the Court's convenience	Pretrial conference
At the Court's convenience	Trial

The parties have requested a jury trial for all issues so triable. Grail believes that the trial will require five (5) full court days. REA believes that the trial will require ten (10) full court days.

25

1	<u>Dated</u> : August 16, 2012	Respectfully submitted,
2		DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP Martin L. Fineman, Bar No. 1104413
3		NIRO, HALLER & NIRO
4		Raymond P. Niro Christopher J. Lee
5		Kara L. Szpondowski
6		By: <u>/s/ Kara L. Szpondowski</u>
7		Attorneys for Plaintiff
8		Grail Semiconductor, Inc.
9		
	<u>Dated</u> : August 16, 2012	ULMER & BERNE LLP
10		Michael D. Switzer
11		Brian C. Bianco Alissa R. Pohlman
11		Peter G. Hawkins
12		Teter G. Hawkins
13		KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP
		James Isbester, State Bar No. 129820
14		Byron Robert Chin, State Bar No. 259846
15		By: /s/_Alissa R. Pohlman
16		Attorneys for Defendant Renesas Electronics America Inc.
17		
18		Concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories
19	EXATES DISTRICT CO	pursuant to General Order 45(X)(B).
20	ORDERED	
21	Dated: 8/29/12 S IT IS SO ORDERED AS MODIFIED	
22	Z Judge Joseph C. Spero	
23	The state of the s	[S]
	TERN OF C	<i>y</i>
24	PAN DISTRICT OF	

25